


Indicators for Mapping and Profiling Internationalisation

Objectives and Method







Associate Partners

1	Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität	Munich	Germany
2	Berlin Institute of Technology	Berlin	Germany
3	University of Copenhagen	Copenhagen	Denmark
4	Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt	Eichstätt	Germany
5 6	Universita Cattlica del Sacro Cuore Fachhochschule Oldenburg/Ostfriesland/Wilhelmshaven	Milan Wilhelmshaven	Italy Germany
7	Budapesti Műszaki Főiskola	Budapest	Hungary
8	The University of Southampton	Southampton	UK
9	Warsaw University of Technology	Warsaw	Poland
10	Universität Zürich	Zurich	Switzerland
11	TAMK University of Applied Sciences	Tampere	Finland
12	Hanze University of Applied Sciences	Groningen	Netherlands
13	Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD)	Bonn	Germany
14	Instituto Europeu da Faculdade de Direito de Lisboa	Lisbon	Portugal
15	The Coimbra Group	Brussels	Belgium
16	Associació Catalana d'Universitats Públiques (APUC)	Barcelona	Spain
17	Vlaamse hogescholenraad (VHLORA)	Brussels	Belgium



Rationale

- To compile an indicator list inclusive of most existing lists
- To provide a toolbox for medium and large group internal comparisons or check of internationalisation
- To provide ideas for a structured strategy approach (objectives, activities, indicators)
- To develop three examples of application and test the practicability and robustness of the chosen indicators:
 - Individual institutional
 - Small benchmarking group (3-5)
 - Large benchmarking group (>10)
 - Find examples of good practice for processes
 - Define ways to choose activities, services, QA measures



Timeline:

- Oct 2009 Kick-off workshop in Gütersloh
- 10/09-4/10 Research on indicator sets and development of the IMPI toolbox
- Currently Finishing of the desk research report
- 5/10-2/11 Development of benchmarking groups with associate partners and test of the indicator set
- 3/11-5/11 Exploitation of benchmarking exercise, preparation of results and documentation
- 6/11-3/12 Development of external benchmarking group and data analysis (second round)
- 4/12-6/12 Transformation of results



Next activities:

Sept 14, 2010	Second workshop for Associate partners in Nantes Forming the benchmarking groups (based on clustering made by pre-workshop survey)
•	Each group decides on the indicators they want to choose
SeptNov.	
2010	Gathering of data
Dez 2010	
-Jan 2010	Data Analysis
Feb 2010	Third workshop for associate partners: Discussion of benchmarking results and toolbox use




How is the IMPI tool supposed to work?


In the upcoming round; in the end?




Benchmarking round:
















Indicator 1: 3; Indicator 3: 1, Indicator 5: 4, Indicators 2,4,6,7,: 0

INDICATORS FOR MAPPING & PROFILING INTERNATIONALISATION Indicator 1 & 5: often used Indicator 3: seldomly used Indicator 2,4,6,7: unused



How is the IMPI tool supposed to work?

In the upcoming round; in the end?



